

Rewriting Nature: Should We Be Engineering Our Pets?

We are now in the age of CRISPR, where some mad scientist (or, probably, a startup with a terrible name) can make you a hypoallergenic cat or a dog that basically does your taxes. Okay, not taxes, but you get the idea. You've got glow-in-the-dark fish, posh poodles that never shed, and who knows what's next - corgis that recite poetry? This all sounds wild, but honestly, it makes you wonder: is this just clever science, or are we crossing an ethical line?

Animal Welfare: The Real Dealbreaker

Animal welfare sits squarely at the centre of this entire debate. Some argue that gene editing is the answer to animal suffering. Imagine cats that don't set off your allergies, or pups that don't inherit nasty infections from their parents. Sounds like a win, right? And yes, researchers have actually edited cats to reduce allergens. Humans are happy, cats are happy, so everyone wins... in theory.

However, it's not all sunshine and rainbows. Tweaking genes isn't exactly foolproof. Sometimes you get surprise defects, or animals start acting weird - like those glowing fish that turn out a little too weird for their own good. And then there's the classic case of designer dogs that can't breathe because people wanted a cute, smooshed face. All that because the market wants what it wants, and sometimes animal welfare gets totally steamrolled.

Can Animals Consent?

Here's the awkward bit: animals definitely are not signing any waivers for this. No fish has ever said, "Yeah, I'd love to glow under blacklight." We're doing this because we think it's aesthetically pleasing. But is that fair? Even if our intentions are good, it's kind of a power trip to rewire another creature's DNA for our personal preference. You know how certain dog breeds are "in" one year and totally out the next? Now imagine that, but with gene mods. Suddenly, animals become the next iPhone - something to custom order, swap out, or ditch when the next model drops. Honestly, it's a recipe for disaster. More overbreeding, more abandoned animals, all for a trend that will be old news by next summer.



NAASHA MAHADEVIA



CITATIONS

- 1.Regalado, Antonio. “First Genetically Modified Pets Are Here.” MIT Technology Review, 30 Sept. 2021,<https://www.technologyreview.com/2021/09/30/1036484/first-genetically-modified-pets-are-here/>. Accessed 25 July 2025.
2. Dvorsky, George. “Why Genetically Engineered Pets May Be Closer Than You Think.” Gizmodo, 9 May 2019, <https://gizmodo.com/why-genetically-engineered-pets-may-be-closer-than-you-1834605572>. Accessed 25 July 2025.
3. Ledford, Heidi. “CRISPR Gene Editing Is Being Tested in Pets—and People Might Be Next.” *Nature*, vol. 574, 2019, pp. 464–467. <https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-019-03047-0>.
4. Greely, Henry T. *The End of Sex and the Future of Human Reproduction*. Harvard University Press, 2016.Chapter 8 covers ethics and genetic modification in animals.
5. American Veterinary Medical Association. “Welfare Implications of Genetically Modified Animals.” AVMA Policies, 2020, <https://www.avma.org/resources-tools/avma-policies/welfare-implications-genetically-modified-animals>. Accessed 25 July 2025.